


From: 
To: [Sizewell C](#)
Subject: Sizewell C planning application - Deadline 10 submission
Date: 10 October 2021 20:17:03

Dear Examining Authority

Throughout the planning hearing I have heard a great many well founded arguments explaining why permission should not be granted for the construction of Sizewell C and yet I have heard very few, if any, Interested Parties supporting the project - I trust you will take note of this overwhelming voice against the development.

I will not repeat all those arguments here but in summary:

- It has been shown that the construction of Sizewell C cannot be undertaken as the site is too small and there is no guarantee of a long term water supply.
- The technology proposed for its operation has still to be shown to actually work.
- The brutal impact which building Sizewell C will have on local communities, our wildlife and the protected environment is not outweighed by the benefits.
- A cyber attack on a single large scale nuclear plant would have a huge impact on the country's energy supply in contrast to the risks associated with a diversified green energy supply.
- Alternative technology already exists, and will continue to develop, to meet the UK's energy needs from renewable sources and efficiency measures and this technology will avoid the environmental damage which building Sizewell C will cause.


But in your deliberations may I ask that you reflect upon one overriding fact, namely that at no other time in our world's history have people had a greater appreciation of the need for, and easy access to, open spaces and clean air than since the start of the Covid pandemic.

The protected Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB provides these vital requirements and the damage caused by Sizewell C will impact on millions of people throughout the country, as well as on local residents, for whom this area is the closest large 'green space' designated for environmental protection and open access. It is therefore difficult to imagine a more inappropriate time and inappropriate location for permission to be granted for Europe's largest ever construction project.

I do not envy you the task of meeting the requirement upon you to balance decisions on '*future sustainable development and infrastructure needs*' against the '*conservation and enhancement of the natural environment*' but I urge you to follow the precedent set in the case of the Wylfa nuclear power station application and to reject Sizewell C principally because of the considerable and irreversible environmental damage that it would cause.

Yours faithfully

Alan Collett

From: 
To: [Sizewell C](#)
Subject: Sizewell C planning application - Deadline 10 submission
Date: 11 October 2021 09:30:21

Dear Examining Authority

You will have heard many reasons why permission should not be granted to build Sizewell C. But there can be no better reason than the findings of the latest report issued by the Natural History Museum showing that the UK is one of the most nature depleted countries in the world, with only 53% of its biodiversity left. Regardless of the mitigation proposed by EDF, the construction of Sizewell C will accelerate further biodiversity loss and this is simply unacceptable when we can achieve the energy needs for the UK from less environmentally damaging renewable sources.

Nuclear energy is not green energy due to both the huge carbon footprint during construction and the extraction of uranium which brings with it extensive environmental damage. To allow its production will further risk our slide into an ecological disaster.

I urge you to refuse planning permission for Sizewell C.

Yours faithfully

Alan Collett